

# GROUP THEORY

5TH SEMESTER - LECTURE 4

BY

NILOFAR NAHID



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
MAHARAJA MANINDRA CHANDRA COLLEGE

August 24, 2020

## Theorem

Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be elements of the symmetric group  $S_n$  and suppose  $\sigma$  has cycle decomposition

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k_1})(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k_2}) \dots$$

Then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  has cycle decomposition

$$(\tau(a_1), \tau(a_2), \dots, \tau(a_{k_1}))(\tau(b_1), \tau(b_2), \dots, \tau(b_{k_2})) \dots$$

i.e.,  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by replacing each entry  $i$  in the cycle decomposition for  $\sigma$  by the entry  $\tau(i)$ .

If  $\sigma \in S_n$  is the product of disjoint cycles of lengths  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  (including its 1-cycles) then the integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  are called the cycle type of  $\sigma$ .

The cycle type of a permutation is unique. For example, the cycle type of an  $m$ -cycle in  $S_n$  is  $1, 1, \dots, m$ , where the  $m$  is preceded by  $n - m$  ones.

The cycle type of an 2-cycle in  $S_3$  is 1, 2 and cycle type of an 3-cycle is 3.

## Theorem

Two elements of  $S_n$  are conjugate in  $S_n$  if and only if they have the same cycle type. The number of conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  equals the number of partitions of  $n$ .

**Proof.** By above theorem, conjugate permutations have the same cycle type. Conversely, let  $\sigma, \rho \in S_n$  both be of cycle type  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_l)$  and we show that  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are conjugate in  $S_n$ . Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be written as products of disjoint cycles as

$$\sigma = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_l,$$

where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are  $k_i$ -cycles. For each  $i$  let us write

$$\alpha_i = (a_{i1} a_{i2} \dots a_{ik_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = (b_{i1} b_{i2} \dots b_{ik_i}).$$

Now define  $\tau$  by  $\tau(a_{ij}) = b_{ij}$  for every  $i, j$  such that

$$1 \leq i \leq l \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_i.$$

Hence we have  $\tau \alpha_i \tau^{-1} = \beta_i$ . So, we have

$$\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} = (\tau \alpha_1 \tau^{-1}) (\tau \alpha_2 \tau^{-1}) \dots (\tau \alpha_l \tau^{-1}) = \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_l = \rho.$$

So, any two elements of  $S_n$  with the same cycle type are in the same conjugacy class.

**Proof.** Each distinct cycle type in  $S_n$  represents a distinct partition of  $n$ , and each cycle type represents a conjugacy class. Since there is a bijection between the conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  and the permissible cycle types (because conjugates are the same cycle type). The result follows. The second assertion of the theorem follows, completing the proof.

If  $n = 3$ , the partitions of 3 and corresponding representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $S_3$  (with 1-cycles not written) are as given in the following table:

partition of 3	Representative of Conjugacy Class
$1 + 1 + 1$	$e$
$1 + 2$	$(12)$
$3$	$(123)$

If  $n = 4$ , the partitions of 4 and corresponding representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $S_4$  (with 1-cycles not written) are as given in the following table:

partition of 4	Representative of Conjugacy Class
$1 + 1 + 1 + 1$	$e$
$1 + 1 + 2$	$(12)$
$2 + 2$	$(12)(34)$
$1 + 3$	$(123)$
$4$	$(1234)$

If  $n = 5$ , the partitions of 5 and corresponding representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $S_5$  (with 1-cycles not written) are as given in the following table:

partition of 5	Representative of Conjugacy Class
$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$	$e$
$1 + 1 + 1 + 2$	$(12)$
$1 + 1 + 3$	$(123)$
$1 + 4$	$(1234)$
$5$	$(12345)$
$1 + 2 + 2$	$(12)(34)$
$2 + 2$	$(12)(34)$
$2 + 3$	$(12)(345)$

# Group action

## GROUP THEORY

### Group action

### Right Group Actions

### Sylow's theorem

A finite group is called **simple** when it is nontrivial and its only normal subgroups are the trivial subgroup and the whole group.

For instance, a finite group of prime order is simple, since it in fact has no non-trivial proper subgroups at all (normal or not). A finite abelian group  $G$  not of prime order, is not simple: let  $p$  be a prime factor of  $|G|$ , so  $G$  contains a subgroup of order  $p$ , which is a normal since  $G$  is abelian and is proper since  $|G| > p$ . Thus, the abelian finite simple groups are the groups of prime order.

### Lemma 1

For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $A_n$  is generated by 3-cycles. For  $n \geq 5$ ,  $A_n$  is generated by permutations of type  $(2, 2)$ .

### Lemma 2

For  $n \geq 5$ , any two 3-cycles in  $A_n$  are conjugate in  $A_n$ .

### Lemma 3

When  $n \geq 5$  and  $\sigma \neq e$  in  $A_n$  has conjugate  $\sigma' \neq \sigma$  such that  $\sigma(i) = \sigma'(i)$  for some  $i$ .

Note that two elements of the same cycle type need not be conjugate in  $A_5$ .

## Theorem

The group  $A_5$  is simple.

**Proof.** We want to show the only normal subgroups of  $A_5$  are  $\{e\}$  and  $A_5$ . Let  $N$  be the normal subgroup of  $A_5$  with  $|N| > 1$ . We will show  $N$  contains a 3-cycle. It follows that  $N = A_5$  by Lemmas 1 and 2. Pick  $\sigma \in N$  with  $\sigma \neq e$ . The cycle structure of  $\sigma$  is  $(abc)$ ,  $(ab)(cd)$  or  $(abcde)$  where different letters represent different numbers. Since we want to show  $N$  contains a 3-cycle, we may suppose  $\sigma$  has the second or third cycle type. In the second case,  $N$  contains

$$((abe)(ab)(cd)(abe)^{-1})(ab)(cd) = (be)(cd)(ab)(cd) = (aeb).$$

In the third case,  $N$  contains

$$((abc)(abcde)(abc)^{-1})(abcde)^{-1} = (adebc)(aedcb) = (abd).$$

Therefore  $N$  contains a 3-cycle, so  $N = A_5$ .



## Theorem

For  $n \geq 5$ ,  $A_n$  is simple.

**Proof.** We may suppose  $n \geq 6$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $A_n$  act in the natural way on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $H_i \subset A_n$  be the subgroup fixing  $i$ , so  $H_i \cong A_{n-1}$ . By induction, each  $H_i$  is simple. Note each  $H_i$  contains a 3-cycle. Let  $N$  be the nontrivial normal subgroup of  $A_n$ . We want to show  $N = A_n$ . Pick  $\sigma \in N$  with  $\sigma \neq e$ . By lemma 3 there is a conjugate  $\sigma'$  of  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma' \neq \sigma$  and  $\sigma(i) = \sigma'(i)$  for some  $i$ . Since  $N$  is normal in  $A_n$ ,  $\sigma' \in N$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1}\sigma'$  is a non-identity element of  $N$  which fixes  $i$ , so  $N \cap H_i$  is a non-trivial subgroup of  $H_i$ . It is also a normal subgroup of  $H_i$  since  $N$  is normal in  $A_n$ . Since  $H_i$  is simple,

$$N \cap H_i = H_i.$$

Therefore  $H_i \subset N$ . Since  $H_i$  contains a 3-cycle,  $N$  contains a 3-cycle and we are done.

# Group action

## GROUP THEORY

### Group action

### Right Group Actions

### Sylow's theorem

One can analogously define the notion of a right group action of the group  $G$  on the nonempty set  $A$  as a map from  $A \times G \rightarrow A$ , denoted by  $a \cdot g$  for  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$  that satisfies the axioms:

1.  $(a \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2 = a \cdot (g_1 g_2) \quad \forall a \in A, \text{ and } g_1, g_2 \in G$
2.  $a \cdot e = a, \quad \forall a \in A.$

If  $G$  acts on itself by conjugation, then conjugation is written as a right group action using the following notation:

$$a^g = g^{-1}ag, \quad \forall a, g \in G.$$

For arbitrary group actions it is an easy exercise to check that if we are given a left group action of  $G$  on  $A$  then the map  $A \times G \rightarrow A$  defined by  $a \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot a$  is a right group action. Conversely, given a right group action of  $G$  on  $A$  we can form a left group action by  $g \cdot a = a \cdot g^{-1}$ . Call these pairs corresponding group actions. Put another way, for corresponding group actions,  $g$  acts on the left in the same way that  $g^{-1}$  acts on the right. This is particularly transparent for the action of conjugation because the "left conjugate of  $a$  by  $g$ ," namely  $gag^{-1}$  is the same group element as the "right conjugate of  $a$  by  $g^{-1}$ ," namely by  $a^{g^{-1}}$ . Thus two elements or subsets of a group are "left conjugate" if and only if they are "right conjugate," and so the relation "conjugacy" is the same for the left and right corresponding actions.

# Sylow's theorem

## GROUP THEORY

Group  
action

Right  
Group  
Actions

Sylow's  
theorem

### Definition.

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $p$  be a prime.

1. A group of order  $p^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \geq 1$  is called a  $p$ -group. Subgroups of  $G$  which are  $p$ -groups are called  $p$ -subgroups.
2. If  $G$  is a group of order  $p^\alpha m$ , where  $p$  does not divide  $m$ , then a subgroup of order  $p^\alpha$  is called a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .
3. The set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  will be denoted by  $Syl_p(G)$  and the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  will be denoted by  $n_p(G)$ .

### Theorem

If  $H, K$  are subgroups of  $G$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $N_G(K)$ , then  $HK$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

**Proof.** We prove  $HK = KH$ . Let  $h \in H, k \in K$ . By assumption,  $hkh^{-1} \in K$ , hence

$$hk = (hkh^{-1})h \in KH.$$

This proves that  $HK \subseteq KH$ . Similarly

$$kh = h(h^{-1}kh) \in HK$$

proving the reverse containment.

# Sylow's theorem

## GROUP THEORY

Group  
action

Right  
Group  
Actions

Sylow's  
theorem

### Lemma

Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . If  $Q$  is any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q \cap N_G(P) = Q \cap P$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H = N_G(P) \cap Q$ . Since  $P$  is a subgroup of  $N_G(P)$  it is clear that  $P \cap Q \leq H$ , so we must prove the reverse inclusion. Since by definition  $H \leq Q$  this is equivalent to showing  $H \leq P$ . We do this by demonstrating that  $PH$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing both  $P$  and  $H$ ; but  $P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  of largest possible order, so we must have  $PH = P$ , i.e.,  $H \leq P$ .

Since  $H \leq N_G(P)$ , hence by above theorem  $PH$  is a subgroup. Also

$$|PH| = \frac{|P||H|}{|P \cap H|}.$$

All the numbers in the above quotient are powers of  $p$ , so  $PH$  is a  $p$ -group. Moreover,  $P$  is a subgroup of  $PH$  so the order of  $PH$  is divisible by  $p^\alpha$ , the largest power of  $p$  which divides  $|G|$ . These two facts force  $|PH| = p^\alpha = |P|$ . This in turn implies  $P = PH$  and  $H \leq P$ . This establishes the lemma.